Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 9 of 17

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 1-16 are now present in this application. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 13

are independent.

Claims 1-16 have been amended. Reconsideration of this application, as

amended, is respectfully requested.

Reasons for Entry of Amendments

At the outset, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be

entered into the Official File in view of the fact that the amendments to the

claims automatically place the application in condition for allowance.

In the alternative, if the Examiner does not agree that this application is

in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be

entered for the purpose of appeal. This Amendment reduces the issues on

appeal by distinguishing the Applicants' invention over the prior art currently

of record. This Amendment was not presented at an earlier date in view of the

fact that Applicants did not fully appreciate the Examiner's position until the

Final Office Action was reviewed.

Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 10 of 17

Drawings

A Drawing Correction Authorization Request was submitted on May 12,

2003. Applicants have not received a Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing

Review PTO-948 or other indication of whether or not the corrections have been

approved by the Draftsperson. Clarification in the next Office Action is

respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,088,079 to Kameyama et al.

(Kameyama). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office

Action, and is not being repeated here.

Claims 1 and 5

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but

merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants respectfully

submit that independent claim 1 has been amended to recite a combination of

elements in a backlight device for a liquid crystal display device including, at

Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 11 of 17

least one single layer cholesteric liquid crystal (CLC) film arranged on the front

surface of the light waveguide plate, selectively collimating light by controlling a

helical pitch P of said CLC film according to the equation: $\lambda_0 = P(n_0 + n_e)/2$, where λ_0 is

a wavelength of vertically incident light, P is a helical pitch, no is an ordinary

refractive index, and n_e is an extraordinary refractive index, and claim 5 has been

similarly amended to recite a combination of elements in a backlight device for a

liquid crystal display device, including at least one single layer cholesteric liquid

crystal (CLC) films arranged over the front surface of the light waveguide plate,

collimating light, wherein the at least one CLC film selectively reflects vertically

incident light with a wavelength of more than 600 nm by controlling a helical pitch

P of said CLC film according to the equation: $\lambda_0 = P(n_0 + n_e)/2$, where λ_0 is a

wavelength of vertically incident light, P is a helical pitch, n_0 is an ordinary

refractive index, and n_e is an extraordinary refractive index.

Applicants respectfully submit that these combinations of elements as set

forth in independent claims 1 and 5 are not disclosed or made obvious by the

prior art of record, including Kameyama.

Kameyama teaches several methods of producing a cholesteric liquid

crystal layer in which the helical pitch changes in the thickness direction,

including preparing two or more layers of an aligned cholesteric liquid crystal

polymer and bonding a given number of these layers by thermocompression

bonding (Kameyama, Col.6, line 65- Col.7, line 28). Further, Kameyama

Application No.: 09/657,506 Attorne

Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 12 of 17

teaches that a film obtained by rubbing a thin film of a polyimide, poly (vinyl

alcohol), or the like with a rayon cloth or the like, a thin film formed by the

oblique vapor evaporation of SiO2, etc., and an oriented film consisting of a

stretched film, etc. may be used as the oriented film for bringing a cholesteric

liquid crystal into Grandjean orientation.

The device of Kameyama appears to be enabled by employing a

cholesteric liquid crystal layer having Grandjean orientation in which a helical

pitch changes in the thickness direction, wherein circular dichroism, by which

light is separated into reflected circularly polarized light and transmitted light,

is exhibited over a wavelength region having a width of at least 50 nm and

including a wavelength of 550 nm (Kameyama, Col. 1, lines 55-61).

A helical pitch of a cholesteric liquid crystal layer is not quantifiable by any

method disclosed in Kameyama, particularly in the portion of Kameyama

referenced above. Further, obtaining a value of a helical pitch set forth therein as

being of any consequence in terms of a helical pitch changing in the thickness

direction.

Therefore, Kameyama fails to teach a combination of elements in a

backlight device for a liquid crystal display device including, at least one single

layer cholesteric liquid crystal (CLC) film arranged on the front surface of the

light waveguide plate, selectively collimating light by controlling a helical pitch P of

said CLC film according to the equation: $\lambda_0 = P(n_0 + n_e)/2$, where λ_0 is a wavelength of

Application No.: 09/657,506 Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Art Unit 2871 Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 13 of 17

vertically incident light, P is a helical pitch, n_0 is an ordinary refractive index, and n_e is an extraordinary refractive index, as recited in independent claim 1 (as amended), or a combination of elements in a backlight device for a liquid crystal display device, including at least one single layer cholesteric liquid crystal (CLC) films arranged over the front surface of the light waveguide plate, collimating light, wherein the at least one CLC film selectively reflects vertically incident light with a wavelength of more than 600 nm by controlling a helical pitch P of said CLC film according to the equation: $\lambda_0 = P(n_0 + n_e)/2$, where λ_0 is a wavelength of vertically incident light, P is a helical pitch, n_0 is an ordinary refractive index, and n_e is an

extraordinary refractive index, as recited in independent claim 5 (as amended).

Claims 9 and 13

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 9 has been amended to recite a combination of elements in a backlight device for a liquid crystal display device, including a light waveguide plate guiding light from the light source, said light waveguide plate having an emitting surface, a front surface and a bottom surface, the emitting surface being adjacent to the light source, the length of said emitting surface being substantially shorter than a length of the front surface, and claim 13 has been similarly amended to recite a combination of elements in a backlight device for a

Application No.: 09/657,506 Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Art Unit 2871 Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 14 of 17

liquid crystal display device, including a light waveguide plate guiding light from

the light source, said light waveguide plate having an emitting surface, a front

surface and a bottom surface, the emitting surface being adjacent to the light

source, the length of said emitting surface being substantially shorter than a length

of the front surface.

Applicants respectfully submit that these combinations of elements as set

forth in independent claims 9 and 13 are not disclosed or made obvious by the

prior art of record, including Kameyama.

Prior to this amendment, the emitting surface was clearly defined in claims

9 and 13 as a surface of the waveguide plate being adjacent to the light source. It

appears that the Examiner may have broadly interpreted the front surface of the

waveguide plate of Kameyama as being adjacent to the light source because a

portion of it may be near the light source. However, claims 9 and 13, as now

amended, preclude this interpretation. In no embodiment shown or discussed in

Kameyama is a CLC film arranged on a surface of a waveguide plate that is

adjacent to a light source wherein the length of that particular surface is

substantially shorter than a length of a front surface of the waveguide plate.

Therefore, Kameyama fails to teach a combination of elements in a

backlight device for a liquid crystal display device, including a light waveguide

plate guiding light from the light source, said light waveguide plate having an

emitting surface, a front surface and a bottom surface, the emitting surface

Application No.: 09/657,506 Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Art Unit 2871

being adjacent to the light source, the length of said emitting surface being

substantially shorter than a length of the front surface, as recited in independent

claim 9 (as amended), or a combination of elements in a backlight device for a

liquid crystal display device, including a light waveguide plate guiding light from

the light source, said light waveguide plate having an emitting surface, a front

surface and a bottom surface, the emitting surface being adjacent to the light

source, the length of said emitting surface being substantially shorter than a length

of the front surface, as recited in independent claim 13 (as amended).

Claims 4, 8, 12 and 16 depend, either directly or indirectly, from

independent claims 1, 5, 9 and 13, and therefore are patentable at least for the

reasons stated with respect to independent claims 1, 5, 9 and 13.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this art grounds of rejection are respectfully

requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2, 6, 10 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Kameyama in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,691,789 to Li et al.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office

Action, and is not being repeated here.

Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004

Page 16 of 17

With regard to dependent claims 2, 6, 10 and 14, Applicants submit that

claims 2, 6, 10 and 14 depend, either directly or indirectly, from independent

claims 1, 5, 9 and 13, which are allowable for the reasons set forth above, as Li

et al. fails to cure the deficiencies of Kameyama noted above. Reconsideration

and allowance thereof are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that

the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be

withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition

for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone

Percy L. Square, Registration No. 51,084, at (703) 205-8034, in the Washington,

D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Art Unit 2871

Attorney Docket No. 3430-0134P Amendment filed on April 20, 2004 Page 17 of 17

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

Joseph A. Kolasch

Reg. No.: 22,463

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747 Telephone: (703) 205-8000